# Investigating the Efficacy of Brain-Computer Interfaces in Enhancing Cognitive Abilities for Direct Brain-to-Machine Communication

S.A.B.N. Jayasundera[1], M.P.W.S.S. Peiris[1], R.G.G.A. Rathnayake[1], A.D.K.H. Aluthge[1], H.K.A. Geethanjana[1], D.D.M. Ranasinghe[2]

[1]Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Computing, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka,

[2]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Technology, The Open University of Sri Lanka

*Abstract*— **Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) are assistive technologies used to facilitate direct communication between the brain and external devices. This paper explores the potential of BCIs to enhance artificial cognitive abilities in systems and enable direct brain-to-machine communication. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) with BCIs is explored to identify the improvements in accuracy, personalization, user experience and integration of cognition into BCI systems. The study emphasizes the potential uses of BCIs in robotics, human-computer interfaces, healthcare, and rehabilitation. Based on the systematic literature review done in this paper, it is noted that BCIs can be effectively used to improve cognitive functions like memory, attention, and creativity. BCIs also assist with motor rehabilitation for individuals with disabilities, create more natural and intuitive human-robot interaction, and develop personalized therapy approaches for various conditions like ADHD. However, it is necessary to address current low accuracy problems, user interface challenges and limited cognitive abilities in BCIs. While addressing technological improvements through rigorous research, it is necessary to ensure responsible and ethical evolution of BCI technology for integrating cognitive abilities in computer systems.**

***Index Terms*-Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), Cognitive capabilities, Brain-to-Machine Communication, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Artificial Cognitive Systems (ACS)**

## I. Introduction

Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) are state-of-the-art technologies that have been used to enhance human cognitive abilities and enable direct communication between the brain and machines [1].

**Correspondence**: S.A.B.N. Jayasundera (E-mail: 38-bcs-0003@kdu.ac.lk)
**Received**: 16-06-2024 **Revised**: 12-08-2024 **Accepted**: 09-09-2024
S.A.B.N. Jayasundera, M.P.W.S.S. Peiris, R.G.G.A. Rathnayake, A.D.K.H. Aluthge, and H.K.A. Geethanjana are from General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka (38-bcs-0003@kdu.ac.lk, 38-bcs-0001@kdu.ac.lk, 38-bcs-0009@kdu.ac.lk, 38-bcs-0013@kdu.ac.lk, sarageethanjana@gmail.com).
D.D.M. Ranasinghe is from The Open University of Sri Lanka (ddran@ou.ac.lk).

**DOI**: https://doi.org/10.4038/icter.v18i2.7303

The 2025 Special Issue contains the full papers of the abstracts published at the 24th ICTer International Conference.

Basically, BCIs are systems that allow people to control devices such as computers and prostheses using their brain signals, bypassing traditional input methods such as keyboards or joysticks. This approach works by coordinating brain-to brain communication and converting neural signals into commands that can be used to control machines or other peripherals [2].

Utilizing BCIs' potential to improve cognitive abilities like memory, attention, and decision-making has received much attention in recent years [3]. These cognitive skills play a central role in our daily lives because they can positively affect performance in all aspects of our lives. Furthermore, it enables disabled users to interact with their environment, communicate with others, and potentially restore motor functions. The application of BCIs in assistive technology, such as controlling spelling devices, wheelchairs, and prostheses, demonstrates their pivotal role in enhancing independence and quality of life for individuals with disabilities [1], [4]. One of the key areas of BCI research involves mechanisms like neurofeedback [3], brain training, and neurostimulation [5] that are used to improve cognitive abilities. Neurofeedback allows individuals to train their brain activity to achieve desired cognitive states. Brain training includes exercises outlined to improve cognitive abilities, whereas neurostimulation uses electrical or magnetic stimulation to modulate neural activity. When combined, these techniques provide feasible pathways for improving cognitive functions.

This study explores how the capabilities of Artificial Cognitive Systems (ACS) can be combined with BCI technology to create innovative solutions that will help to enhance human computer interaction, personalized experiences and cognitive augmentation in BCIs. This interdisciplinary approach opens new possibilities for improving communication and control in BCI systems. The study includes an in-depth analysis of current BCI technologies, their applications, and cognitive functions. Through a systematic review, research and analysis. It aims to provide insights into how BCIs can be effectively used to improve cognitive performance and communication, leading to significant advances in healthcare, technology, and human-computer interaction.

The rest of the paper has been structured as follows. Section II describes the methodology adapted to find the literature, section III describes related aspects in brain computer interfaces and section IV explains how BCI systems have been deployed in different fields and how ACS systems can be integrated to BCI systems. Section V describes results gathered from the literature review, section VI contains the discussion and the conclusion is given in section VII.

## II. METHODOLOGY

To investiagte the efficacy of Brain-Computer Interfaces by integrating cognitive capabilities to facilitate direct brain-to-machine communication, a systematic literature review was conducted as explained in the subsequent subsections below, followed by synthesize of findings and drawing conclusions.

### *A. Formulating the research problem*

BCI systems that are currently in operation lacks cognitive capabilities limiting the effective use of the devices beyond the predesigned domains [6]. The research problem addressed in this research investigates how the effectiveness of BCI systems can be enhanced by integrating cognitive abilities and facilitate brain-to-machine communication.

### *B. Searching the Literature*

Electronic databases, and journal articles such as IEEE Xplore, PubMed, and Journal of Neural Engineering were utilized in searching for literature. Keywords used in search of literature include brain-computer interfaces, cognitive enhancement, direct brain-to-machine communication, cognitive abilities and efficacy.

The search strings formulated for this purpose were:
(Brain-Computer Interface OR BCI OR Brain-Machine Interface OR BMI)
AND
(Cognitive Abilities OR Cognitive Enhancement OR Cognitive Function OR Neuroenhancement)
AND
(Brain-to-Machine Communication OR Neural Communication)
AND
(efficacy OR effectiveness OR impact)

### *C. Searching for inclusion*

A total of 37 papers were initially obtained from the electronic databases and journals, out of which a total of 21 were included in the literature review. The following criteria were considered as the inclusion criteria:

- The literature should directly address the use of BCIs for cognitive enhancement or facilitating brain-to machine communication.
- Publications should be written in English language and published within the past 10 years.
- Publications should discuss the use of technologies such as neural engineering, brain-to-machine communication, or artificial intelligence in the context of BCIs.
- Literature should include evaluations on the effectiveness, reliability, and usability of the BCI systems.

### *D. Assessing Quality*

In assessing the quality of the literature that matches the scope of the study, the following research questions were identified after the initial screening:

- How can neurotechnology, particularly in BCIs, be used to enhance cognitive functions in individuals?
- How can cognitive capabilities be integrated into BCI?
- How does the integration of BCI improve the efficacy and accuracy of direct brain-to-machine communication?

### *E. Analyzing data*

The analyzed summary of the reviewed literature emphasizes the effectiveness of a variety of BCI technologies that are used in enhancing cognitive abilities and communication facilitation. A comparative approach was used to evaluate the effectiveness of different technologies discussed in the reviewed research papers.

## III. RELATED ASPECTS IN BRAIN COMPUTER INTERFACES

### *A. Brain Inspired systems*

Brain Inspired Systems (BIS) is an emerging research area that integrates science, AI, natural intelligent models, cognitive systems, and brain machine interfaces [7]. In the paper it was stated that natural intelligence and consciousness are two major operations of the human brain and it emphasizes the requirement of getting an in-depth knowledge of the human brain and natural intelligence before working with AI. The paper further elaborates that the advancement of BIS will result in various Brain Machine Interface (BMI) system applications like cognitive computers, big data systems, and unmanned systems.

The computationally related part of BIS is called brain-inspired computing, or neuromorphic computing [8]. It involves modeling computational systems on the brain in the way of processing methods and structures. It brought into focus the new term "neuromorphic completeness" to explain the limits of computation capability that can be achieved by brain-inspired computing systems. Brain-inspired computing models are considered as potential breakthroughs for the next generation of artificial intelligence and post-Moore's law computing. Most neuromorphic chips have strong hardware-software coupling, which limits portability and scalability. Further most chips designed so far have been targeted at specific applications, limiting their potential use in handling new or emerging use cases. Neuromorphic completeness aspires at creating systems that are sufficiently support a wide variety of applications without major hardware modifications. The paper [8] contrasts neuromorphic completeness with the far more established notion of Turing completeness in classical computing. Furthermore, neuromorphic systems by having approximate functions and avoiding strict algorithms can achieve greater efficiency and flexibility. The proposed system hierarchy aims to decouple hardware from software, allowing each to advance independently without losing compatibility. Their work introduces capable of supporting a general-purpose, brain-inspired system a wide range of applications while optimizing both performance and efficiency.

A novel framework for Facial Expression Recognition (FER) was proposed, which involves the modeling of brain-inspired mechanisms in deep learning to investigate how the human brain recognizes facial expressions and develop more effective FER systems driven by simulations of the underlying processes [9]. Traditional FER models are based on global features, such as differences in facial structure, and variations in expression intensity across different regions on the face. It is complex and challenging since traditional FER models have been dependent on the global features that are buried in the variability of facial structure and expression intensity across the face. Hence, this represents an intricate task because such models do not fully imitate the way in which the brain processes expressions. Different recognition pathways, each focused on a specific region of the face, are introduced in the model proposed herein. An "activeness" parameter was used to measure the importance of those regions for recognizing certain expressions. The proposed model achieved brilliant results in FER2013 and JAFFE. In the proposed approach, recognition accuracy improved for those expressions in which certain facial regions, such as the mouth for happiness, play a more crucial role.

By simulating cognition in artificial systems, new generations of resilient, adaptable systems capable of interacting with an unpredictable dynamical environment are produced. Such systems are commonly known as Artificial Cognitive Systems (ACS). To incorporate artificial cognitive systems into brain computer systems, a strong cognitive model that includes essential functions like perception, learning, reasoning, and decision-making must be created. Thus an increasingly complex architectures needs to be developed with a multidisciplinary approach based on concepts from fields like constructivism, evolutionary theory, and ethology. Supported by adaptive learning processes, embodiment and situatedness enable these systems to interact with and learn from their surroundings. The cognitive system should go through extensive testing to assess its performance and should effortlessly connect with current technology using standard protocols. Furthermore, resolving issues like the symbol grounding problem guarantees that cognitive operations have a purpose and a relevance to the activities performed by the system [10].

With BCIs, ability to create a direct channel of communication between the brain and an external device it is possible to translate brain impulses into commands that can operate hardware or software without the need for physical movement. BCIs have attracted much interest in the last few decades because of their potential uses in human-computer interaction, neurorehabilitation, and assistive technology, both in research and clinical settings.

To recognize particular patterns linked to user intent, brain signals such as those from electroencephalography(EEG), magnetoencephalography (MEG), or functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) are typically captured by BCIs and processed using machine learning techniques. After being processed, the signals are turned into commands that can be used to control a variety of external devices, including wheelchairs, robotic arms, and virtual environments [11]. Non-invasive BCIs, which employ EEG signals to attain equivalent accuracy levels while enhancing user comfort and lowering hazards, have also received attention recently [12].

Beyond medical applications, BCIs have been used in a variety of disciplines recently, such as virtual reality, gaming, and cognitive enhancement [13] . BCIs provide a platform for brain-to-machine (B2M) communication in the context of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, whereby the cognitive state of the brain can have a direct impact on machine learning models. User experiences that are more tailored and adaptive are made possible by the integration of BCIs with AI-driven systems. For example, AI-driven adaptive learning systems are able to instantly change their course material according to the cognitive load that is identified by BCIs [14].

Human-machine interaction and cognitive ability can be improved through new avenues that are being opened by the convergence of BCIs with other emerging technologies, such as Artificial Cognitive Systems and Brain-to-Machine Communication. Through this integration, systems may be able to comprehend, anticipate, and react to human intents with greater accuracy, opening up smooth lines of communication between humans and machines.

### *B. Brain to machine communication*

The term Brain-Computer Communication (BCC) describes the direct communication that exists between the brain and an external technological device. This communication enables people to manipulate systems or equipment by using their brain activity leaving the necessity of using keyboards or touchscreens. Cognitive approaches can significantly enhance the efficiency of Brain-Computer Communication by improving the interpretation and processing of brain signals, thereby facilitating more effective interaction between the brain and external devices. It can assist in improving signal processing and interpretation, user training and adaption and personalization [15].

The Paper [16], discusses on using brain computer communication for motor control. Thus, by converting brain activity into messages or commands, brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) enable people to communicate without the use of conventional peripheral nerves and muscles. By interpreting the user's objectives from their brain impulses, BCIs can also be used to operate external equipment, including computer interfaces or prosthetics. BCIs can help individuals recovering from strokes or other neurological problems monitor and improve their motor recovery in rehabilitation. BCIs can assist patients in improving their motor abilities through practice and improvement by giving them real-time feedback on brain activity related to movement resulting improved recovery results.

The study [17] shows how Brain computer communication helps in assisting individuals affected by neurological conditions such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), Locked-In Syndrome (LIS), Parkinson’s disease, and Spinal Cord Injury. The Information Transfer Rate (ITR), which measures how well a BCI translates neural impulses into external commands, is a crucial performance indicator for BCIs. The formula considers variables like the quantity of options available and the precision of user decisions. Complex brain impulses must be translated into real-time directives using advanced decoding techniques. To enable BCI systems to be flexible for a range of users, these algorithms need to be accurate and able to handle a variety of cognitive and motor limitations.

### C. *Artificial Cognitive Systems*

Artificial Cognitive Systems describes systems that represent the process of human perception, learning, reasoning, decision-making, and solving a problem. The domain of ACS comprises several fields, including artificial intelligence, cognitive science, neuroscience, robotics, and computer science, among others. Hence, ACS attempts to integrate the above fields in order to develop new machines with advanced levels of cognitive functions.

The relationship between human cognition and artificial cognition systems is evaluated based on which cognitive activities can be transferred to a machines and which should be remaining with humans for optimum performance [18]. The interaction between the human and ACSs is critical due to the complexity of acquiring knowledge and reasoning abilities from a human input.

In the vision of developing ACSs that can assist humans in creative tasks and problem solving, it is assumed that these systems could present creative alternatives on how to solve a task [19]. This is proven useful when humans face a lack of resources or inspiration. However, this creative cognition in systems needs to be evaluated using the same metrics used to evaluate human creativity, such as creativity tests. The authors propose that this could be done by building machines that can solve creativity tests in ways that are similar to humans.

## IV. APPLICATIONS OF BCI SYSTEMS

### A. *BCI in aiding Human Cognition*

The paper by Sanchez et. al., explores the neural correlations of visual working memory load using Electroencephalography (EEG) [2]. The authors have developed a BCI system capable of real-time monitoring of the visual working memory load of humans. The brain activity in the range of 1 to 90Hz were recorded using a 16-channel EEG device. In the paper, nine features namely relative theta power, relative upper gamma power, upper beta power, relative lower beta power, relative delta power, relative alpha power, lower gamma power, relative lower gamma power, and relative upper beta power have been collected from all the subjects and have ranked according to the frequency at which they appeared. BCI performances were then evaluated using cross-validation, and a classification threshold, through which a high working memory load was detected. A Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC) of the classifier was plotted for the whole dataset against a typical good subject. It was reported that the classifier has achieved a high working memory load of 68% with 72% accuracy. It was stated that the classifier performed much better on a single-subject basis where the working memory load was 77% with an accuracy of 81%. After the categorization of nine features, feature selection or dimensionality reduction techniques such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) should have been applied to improve the classifier's performance by optimizing the feature set.

The research [20] delves into the intricate study of extracting emotions through brain signals utilizing BCIs. In this study EEG helmets and headsets have been identified as a cost-effective and nonintrusive way for extracting brain signals. External triggers such as images, videos, and audio were used to trigger emotional responses.

### B. *BCI in aiding Physical Disabilities*

The present BCI Controlled Wheelchair (BCW) requires the patients to plan the full path that they need to travel, which is a difficult and fatiguing task. In paper [21] patients with paraplegia were studied, and it proposes a novel method for a BCI Controlled Wheelchair to work under minimum user interaction. Accordingly, the authors have introduced a novel BCW that consists of a BCI, an automatic navigation module, and a computer vision module. When the patient issues a command to navigate to a target, the computer vision module perceives the environment and automatically maps out a route by avoiding obstacles. The system was tested using 10 patients with severe spinal cord injuries. The average total accuracy of the information transfer rate was found to be 90.8%, and it took approximately 2 minutes to complete one task. It was reported that the patients have not experienced any physiological or cognitive problems during the testing process.

The research [22] describes the factors influencing the outcome of BCI-based post-stroke motor rehabilitation. It outlines how AI-based approaches can help to personalize the strategies for patients. AI algorithms can predict reactions of individuals to BCI-based motor rehabilitation by analyzing a variety of parameters, including mental states, cognitive ability, brain plasticity, and somatosensory skills. Human cognitive processes involved in motor rehabilitation can be modeled and simulated by artificial cognitive systems. Through awareness of stroke patients' perceptions, learning styles, and responses to rehabilitation tasks, these systems can generate customized therapies for different cognitive profiles.

BCI-based systems have shown promising results in assisting disabled individuals. Some of these systems have managed an offline accuracy of 100%. Studies have further shown that stable Effective Refractory Period (ERP) responses have been recorded over time making these systems suitable for long-term use. Motor imagery and Sensorimotor Rhythm (SMR) training have been effective in stroke and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis patients, emphasizing the importance of training for self-control. Virtual environments and game applications have facilitated movement control in tetraplegic subjects, reducing training time for BCI operations [23].

### C. *BCI in aiding cognitive functions in differently-abled people*

The paper [24] has categorized BCIs as innovative technologies that will revolutionize cognitive enhancement and facilitate direct communication between the brain and external devices. The paper further elaborates on the insights gained from studies investigating the effectiveness of BCIs in bolstering cognitive functions, particularly in populations such as children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and elderly individuals experiencing cognitive decline. By delving into these research findings, the paper highlights the efficacy of BCI-based interventions and their role in promoting creativity via visual arts. The impact of using a steady-state visual evoked potential of BCI systems on drawing and painting tasks with varying control characteristics were highlighted by investigating creativity requirements. Participants' feedback indicates a preference for tasks that allowing more user expression and suggests that BCIs serve not only serve for communication and control purposes, but also serve as a conduit for creative expression. These findings underscore the potential of BCIs in enhancing cognitive abilities and facilitates direct brain-to-machine communication.

The synergy of Cognitive Informatics, Neural Informatics, Brain Informatics, and Cognitive Computing in understanding natural intelligence and the brain functions have been studied in [25]. The research was focused towards identifying implications for advancing strategies and aimed at cognitive enhancement.

Integration of BCIs with assistive technologies to enhance the lives of disabled individuals were discussed in [26]. It explores how BCIs can enhance human capabilities by providing new interaction channels with the external environment, for people with disabilities. In addition, it highlighted the importance of defining various patterns of brain activity related to specific intentions and tasks essential for enhancing cognitive abilities using BCI technologies [24]. The paper describes the role of computational approaches in developing a coherent model that includes neuroscientific theories and AI technologies, to explain brain complexity was described. It illustrates the potential of cognitive informatics and cognitive computing, in facilitating intelligent machinable thinking and brain communication with machines. A comprehensive review of BCI technology, highlighting its potential to enhance cognitive abilities and how it can enable direct brain-to-machine communication were discussed. It discusses the utilization of electroencephalographic (EEG) activity and single-unit activity within the cortex to control external devices. The paper underlines the need for interdisciplinary cooperation to develop BCIs, despite current limits.

A randomized controlled trial (RCT) had been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of a BCI based attention training program for children with ADHD in [27].

Effectiveness of BCI-based attention training programs for children with ADHD have been studied in [28] and [29]. These studies have served as the foundation for many large-scale trials and had demonstrated promising results in improving attention and cognitive functions in pediatric populations. By employing machine learning algorithms to analyze EEG data and driving personalized training programs, the authors have indicated the importance of BCI-based interventions for ADHD management information transfer and have stressed the necessity of standard research procedures[30].

### *D. BCI and Mental models*

Paper [31], explains the mechanisms that influence cognitive fit between users and AI-based systems. It highlights that when adapting mental models to align with cognitive demands of AI-based systems, the users need to understand the unique aspects of AI, such as self-learning, inscrutability, and autonomy. The paper further describes that within a collaborative human-AI setup, AI-based systems have their own embedded mental models in the form of algorithms that facilitate enhance users' cognitive capacities. It highlights the fact that to establish a cognitive fit, AI systems need to match the mental models of human beings.

### *E. BCI and Artificial Cognitive Systems in Robotics*

The paper [32] provides some interesting details on how BCI and Robotics align with each other. It also describes how Artificial Cognitive systems integrated with BCI can provide advanced control mechanisms to robots. Furthermore, it describes that robot systems can be developed to understand human intentions by interpreting brain signals and responding accordingly, leading to more natural and engaging human robot interaction. The paper describes that advanced, user-friendly, and efficient robotic systems can be developed to utilized in many areas including healthcare, rehabilitation, and construction by integrating artificial cognitive systems and BCI systems.

The study [30] discusses the use of BCI in controlling a humanoid robot in a navigational control task. The difficulty of utilizing BCI to control actual humanoid robots with the same level of intuitive control exhibited by humans was emphasized by the authors. The paper emphasizes the fact that to effectively engage with the surroundings, the brain combines many sensory inputs to create a unified perception of the world. According to cognitive neuroscience research, multisensory integration results in an improvement over a single modality and eventually boost sensorimotor functions. The paper describes the importance of integrating Artificial Cognitive Systems with robotic systems to achieve natural control and interaction with the environment. The paper further investigates whether integrating audio-visual feedback can improve the performance of BCI-controlled robots.

The study conducted in [29] investigates the effectiveness of a personalized BCI system for cognitive training in healthy elderly individuals that involved 224 subjects in a random controlled trial. The authors have observed a significant improvement in cognitive functions after conducting a 24 session training programs, especially among male participants. The research highlights the importance of personalized interventions tailored to individual cognitive profiles and underscores the potential of BCI technology in reducing age-related cognitive decline.

Commercialization of BCI raises the pressing need for the establishment of universal guidelines to ensure sustainable advancements in the field. This also highlights alliance-based projects like the Human Brain Project initiated by the European Union and the Brain Initiative by the White House [33]. Some groundbreaking advancements of BCI include direct Brain-Brain Interface (BBI) systems in rats [34] and non-invasive BBI experiments between human subjects [35]. With the current rate of ongoing research, it is of utmost importance to consider ethical factors, privacy protection, and socioeconomic implications for the sustainable and responsible future development of BCI technology.

BCI enables a wider range of applications such as decoding thoughts, memory extension, telepathy, and targeted treatment. The components of a BCI system include signal acquisition, processing, and application. Future opportunities lie in developing BCI-Internet and BCI-Computer-Brain Interface communication devices to enhance human welfare [36].

In a recent study comparing a BCI system's performance was compared to a traditional assistive technology device for communication, results showed that the BCI system had lower accuracy and a longer setup time than the scanning device, with fatigue emerging as a significant factor. Despite challenges like setup time, and noise sensitivity, the P300 speller BCI remains promising for severely disabled patients, emphasizing the need for further advancements in EEG-based BCIs [37].

## V. Results

The literature review provides comprehensive evidence supporting the efficacy of BCIs for direct brain-to-machine communication across various demographics and applications. Several significant discoveries demonstrate the adaptability and promise of BCIs. Yet, it was clear that BCIs lacks comprehensive cognitive capabilities to operate in full strength.

When it comes to incorporating assistive technology to enhance cognitive abilities and promote creativity in humans, BCIs have demonstrated promise, which needs to be further enhanced. Research indicates that BCIs not only facilitate communication and control but also foster artistic expression, especially in the visual arts. This adaptability highlights the various ways in which BCIs can improve cognitive capacities.

Furthermore, understanding about the natural intelligence and brain-machine linkages has to be studied along with the subject areas such as cognitive informatics, neural informatics, brain informatics, and cognitive computing. Hence, it is evident that creation and improvement of BCIs require a multidisciplinary approach, emphasizing the value of cross-disciplinary cooperation to make meaningful progress.

BCIs hold great promise in the fields of robotics and rehabilitation. Applications like post-stroke motor rehabilitation, humanoid robot control, and robotics demonstrate how BCIs can improve robotic control systems and offer tailored therapy. These developments enhance the user experience and treatment results by making human-robot interactions more natural and engaging.

The integration of AI with BCIs enables personalized strategies tailored to individual cognitive profiles. This customization enhances the efficacy of BCIs in various therapeutic and control applications, improving user experience and outcomes. The ability to tailor cognitive enhancements for individual needs represents a significant advancement in the application of BCIs.

Theoretical and cognitive foundations of Brain-Inspired Systems (BIS) underscore the importance of awareness in natural intelligence. BIS applications extend to large data systems, unmanned systems, and cognitive computing, demonstrating the broad applicability of BCIs. This theoretical framework supports the development of BCIs across diverse technological fields, enhancing their utility and effectiveness.

Applications of BCIs in the real world include identification of emotions of paraplegic patients whose wheelchairs are controlled by BCIs, and children with ADHD participating in attention training programs. These applications illustrate the potential of BCIs in individualized therapy and demonstrate considerable improvements in cognitive function. The effectiveness of these real-world applications indicates how BCIs can be used to improve cognitive capacities and improve the quality of life of needy persons.

Based on the literature review it is evident that BCIs can be effectively used to enhance cognitive abilities and facilitate direct brain-to-machine communication among people in need. Furthermore, potential of cognitively able BCIs can be used in various industry applications to develop sophisticated equipment to elevate the living conditions of human beings particularly in the field of healthcare. The results clearly indicate the requirement of further research in BCIs in a responsible manner adhering to ethical concerns.

## VI. Discussion

The review of the literature discusses recent developments in the field of BCIs, including their potential to enhance cognitive function and provide direct communication between the brain and external devices. Based on the literature review, areas that require rigorous research was identified, emphasizing the importance of addressing issues such as user fatigue. The significance of interdisciplinary cooperation in BCI research and the requirement for customized BCI interventions catered to individual requirements are two noteworthy findings from the studies cited in the review. Privacy and user safety are two ethical issues of BCI technology that should be given serious attention during this procedure. In conclusion, the findings of earlier studies showed that BCIs were successful in:

- Helping those with disabilities: BCIs have demonstrated potential in helping paralyzed patients restore motor function and control prosthetic limbs. Research shows that BCI devices are appropriate for long-term usage and ensure excellent accuracy.
- Improving cognitive function: Research has indicates that BCI-based innovations for integrating cognition can help older adults who are suffering from cognitive decline as well as children with ADHD pay better attention and operate better.
- Encouraging creativity: Research indicates that BCIs have applications beyond communication and control, such as visual arts.
- Integrating with robotics and Artificial Intelligence: This research highlights how BCIs, AI and robotics can be used to construct sophisticated robotic control systems and customized therapies.

Based on the literature review it is evident that BCIs are a promising technology with a variety of applications in human computer interaction, healthcare, and rehabilitation. Future advancements in research and development will lead to the discovery of even more sophisticated artifacts in the BCI domain. Further studies need to address present limitations namely user fatigue, accuracy, and speed. For responsible BCI development, user privacy and ethical issues should to be considered.

TABLE I
KEY FINDINGS AND IMPLICATIONS OF BCI APPLICATIONS

| Application Area | Key findings | Implications |
|---|---|---|
| Assistive Technology & Arts | BCIs enhance cognitive capacities and foster creativity, supporting communication and creative expression | Broadens BCI utility beyond traditional control functions |
| Multidisciplinary Collaboration | Collaboration across cognitive and neural sciences facilitates advanced brainmachine connections | Essential for BCI development and enhancement |
| Robotics & Rehabilitation | BCIs enable personalized therapy and sophisticated robotic control, improving humanrobot interaction | Promotes more natural and engaging interactions |
| Personalized Enhancements | AI integration with BCIs allows for tailored cognitive strategies | Enhances user experience and therapeutic outcomes |
| Brain-Inspired Systems (BIS) | Emphasizes awareness in natural intelligence with applications in data systems and unmanned systems | Extends BCI applications to diverse technological fields |
| Real-World Applications | BCIs improve emotional extraction, mobility for paraplegics, and attention training for ADHD | Demonstrates significant real-world cognitive benefits |

## VII. CONCLUSION

The current state of BCI research was examined in this review, with an emphasis on how it can improve cognitive capacities of the needy and enable direct brain-to machine communication. The research highlights the fact that BCI can be effectively used to improve cognitive functions namely, memory, attention and creativity, assist with motor rehabilitation for individuals with disabilities, create more natural and intuitive human-robot interaction, and develop personalized therapy approaches for various conditions like ADHD. The intersection of BCI and artificial cognitive systems enhances the capabilities of each other such as enhanced precision and customization of BCI operations. BCI systems have resulted in the development of complex robotic systems regulated by brain signals that provide natural and engaging human robot interaction. Brain-computer interfaces, enhanced with artificial cognitive capabilities, have the potential to transform human capacities in many ways.

Cognitively enabled BCIs, taken as a whole, provide a window into a future time frame where people will be able to communicate with machines directly through thought processes. This type of research will pave the way for high end artifact development in the areas of healthcare and rehabilitation. However, it is important to recognize the limitations of current BCI technology, namely accuracy and user interface challenges. Hence, it is evident that integrating BCI systems with cognitive capabilities enable development of artifacts that will uplift the human living standards in many ways and further research is needed to address the current limitations while adhering to ethical and responsible research practices.

## REFERENCES

[1] J. R. Wolpaw et al., “Brain-computer interface technology: a review of the first international meeting,” IEEE Trans. Rehabil. Eng., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 164–173, Jun. 2000, doi: 10.1109/TRE.2000.847807.
[2] A. Mora Sanchez, A. Gaume, G. Dreyfus, and F.-B. Vialatte, “A cognitive brain-computer interface prototype for the continuous monitoring of visual working memory load,” in 2015 IEEE 25th International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing (MLSP), Boston, MA: IEEE, Sep. 2015, pp. 1–5. doi: 10.1109/MLSP.2015.7324370.
[3] N. Jamil, A. N. Belkacem, S. Ouhbi, and C. Guger, “Cognitive and Affective Brain–Computer Interfaces for Improving Learning Strategies and Enhancing Student Capabilities: A Systematic Literature Review,” IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 134122–134147, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3115263.
[4] T. Ebrahimi, “Recent advances in brain-computer interfaces,” in 2007 IEEE 9th Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing, Chania, Crete, Greece: IEEE, 2007, pp. 17–17. doi: 10.1109/MMSP.2007.4412807.
[5] S. Enriquez-Geppert, R. J. Huster, and C. S. Herrmann, “Boosting brain functions: Improving executive functions with behavioral training, neurostimulation, and neurofeedback,” Int. J. Psychophysiol., vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 1–16, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.02.001.
[6] “A User Centred Approach for Bringing BCI Controlled Applications to End-Users | IntechOpen.” Accessed: Sep. 09, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/43505
[7] Y. Wang, J. Lu, M. Gavrilova, R. A. Fiorini, and J. Kacprzyk, “Brain-Inspired Systems (BIS): Cognitive Foundations and Applications,” in 2018 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), Miyazaki, Japan: IEEE, Oct. 2018, pp. 995–1000. doi: 10.1109/SMC.2018.00177.
[8] Y. Zhang, P. Qu, and W. Zheng, “Towards ‘general purpose’ brain-inspired computing system,” Tsinghua Sci. Technol., vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 664–673, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.26599/TST.2021.9010010.
[9] Z. Dong, X. Li, B. Lin, and F. Xie, “Multi-Net Fusion: Exploring a Brain-Inspired Neural Network Model for Facial Expression Recognition,” IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 93804–93814, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3310398.
[10] “(6) (PDF) Artificial Cognition Systems.” Accessed: Sep. 09, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/200026816_Artificial_Cognition_Systems
[11] J. R. Wolpaw, N. Birbaumer, D. J. McFarland, G. Pfurtscheller, and T. M. Vaughan, “Brain–computer interfaces for communication and control,” Clin. Neurophysiol., 2002.
[12] L. F. Nicolas-Alonso and J. Gomez-Gil, “Brain Computer Interfaces, a Review,” Sensors, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1211–1279, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.3390/s120201211.
[13] J. Van Erp, F. Lotte, and M. Tangermann, “Brain-Computer Interfaces: Beyond Medical Applications,” Computer, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 26–34, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1109/MC.2012.107.
[14] R. Chavarriaga, A. Sobolewski, and J. D. R. MillÃ¡n, “Errare machinale est: the use of error-related potentials in brain-machine interfaces,” Front. Neurosci., vol. 8, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00208.
[15] “(6) (PDF) Brain Computer Interfaces: The Future of Communication Between the Brain and the External World,” ResearchGate. Accessed: Sep. 07, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371695036_Brain_Compute

r_Interfaces_The_Future_of_Communication_Between_the_Brain_and_the_External_World

[16] "(6) (PDF) Review of Performance Improvement of a Noninvasive Brain-computer Interface in Communication and Motor Control for Clinical Applications." Accessed: Sep. 07, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373380404_Review_of_Performance_Improvement_of_a_Noninvasive_Brain-computer_Interface_in_Communication_and_Motor_Control_for_Clinical_Applications

[17] N. Rathore, P. B., K. Thivyabrabha, U. P, A. Ingle, and D. kumar, "Researching brain-computer interfaces for enhancing communication and control in neurological disorders," Sci. Temper, vol. 14, pp. 1098–1105, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.58414/SCIENTIFICTEMPER.2023.14.4.07.

[18] G. Siemens et al., "Human and artificial cognition," Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell., vol. 3, p. 100107, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100107.

[19] A.-M. Olteteanu, Z. Falomir, and C. Freksa, "Artificial Cognitive Systems That Can Answer Human Creativity Tests: An Approach and Two Case Studies," IEEE Trans. Cogn. Dev. Syst., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 469–475, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TCDS.2016.2629622.

[20] Z. Fan, "Brain-Computer Interface for Emotion Recognition Based on Electroencephalography," Highlights Sci. Eng. Technol., vol. 85, pp. 231–238, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.54097/30642q16.

[21] X. Chen et al., "Clinical Validation of BCI-Controlled Wheelchairs in Subjects With Severe Spinal Cord Injury," IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng., vol. 30, pp. 579–589, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TNSRE.2022.3156661.

[22] D. Trocellier, B. N'Kaoua, and F. Lotte, "Identifying factors influencing the outcome of BCI-based post stroke motor rehabilitation towards its personalization with Artificial Intelligence," in 2022 IEEE International Conference on Metrology for Extended Reality, Artificial Intelligence and Neural Engineering (MetroXRAINE), Rome, Italy: IEEE, Oct. 2022, pp. 151–156. doi: 10.1109/MetroXRAINE54828.2022.9967648.

[23] I. Lazarou, S. Nikolopoulos, P. C. Petrantonakis, I. Kompatsiaris, and M. Tsolaki, "EEG-Based Brain–Computer Interfaces for Communication and Rehabilitation of People with Motor Impairment: A Novel Approach of the 21st Century," Front. Hum. Neurosci., vol. 12, p. 14, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00014.

[24] D. A. Todd, P. J. McCullagh, M. D. Mulvenna, and G. Lightbody, "Investigating the use of brain-computer interaction to facilitate creativity," in Proceedings of the 3rd Augmented Human International Conference, Megève France: ACM, Mar. 2012, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1145/2160125.2160144.

[25] Y. Wang, "Towards the Synergy of Cognitive Informatics, Neural Informatics, Brain Informatics, and Cognitive Computing:," Int. J. Cogn. Inform. Nat. Intell., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 75–93, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.4018/jcini.2011010105.

[26] J. D. R. Millán, "Combining brain-computer interfaces and assistive technologies: state-of-the-art and challenges," Front. Neurosci., vol. 1, 2010, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2010.00161.

[27] C. G. Lim et al., "A randomized controlled trial of a brain-computer interface based attention training program for ADHD," PLOS ONE, vol. 14, no. 5, p. e0216225, May 2019, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0216225.

[28] C. G. Lim et al., "A Brain-Computer Interface Based Attention Training Program for Treating Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder," PLoS ONE, vol. 7, no. 10, p. e46692, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046692.

[29] S. N. Yeo et al., "Effectiveness of a Personalized Brain-Computer Interface System for Cognitive Training in Healthy Elderly: A Randomized Controlled Trial," J. Alzheimers Dis., vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 127–138, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.3233/JAD-180450.

[30] E. Tidoni, P. Gergondet, A. Kheddar, and S. M. Aglioti, "Audio-visual feedback improves the BCI performance in the navigational control of a humanoid robot," Front. Neurorobotics, vol. 8, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.3389/fnbot.2014.00020.

[31] M. Wu-Gehbauer, C. Rosenkranz, and P. Hennel, "Understanding Cognition in the Development of Artificial Intelligence-based Systems: An Exploration of Cognitive Fit and Supporting Mechanisms".

[32] Q. U. Islam, "Brain Computer Interfaces - BCI and Robotics: A User Friendly Handshake," 2021, doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.27376.12800.

[33] S. Saha et al., "Progress in Brain Computer Interface: Challenges and Opportunities," Front. Syst. Neurosci., vol. 15, p. 578875, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2021.578875.

[34] M. Pais-Vieira, M. Lebedev, C. Kunicki, J. Wang, and M. A. L. Nicolelis, "A Brain-to-Brain Interface for Real-Time Sharing of Sensorimotor Information," Sci. Rep., vol. 3, no. 1, p. 1319, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1038/srep01319.

[35] R. P. N. Rao et al., "A Direct Brain-to-Brain Interface in Humans," PLoS ONE, vol. 9, no. 11, p. e111332, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111332.

[36] B. Maiseli et al., "Brain–computer interface: trend, challenges, and threats," Brain Inform., vol. 10, no. 1, p. 20, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s40708-023-00199-3.

[37] L. Mayaud et al., "Brain-computer interface for the communication of acute patients: a feasibility study and a randomized controlled trial comparing performance with healthy participants and a traditional assistive device," Brain-Comput. Interfaces, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 197–215, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1080/2326263X.2016.1254403.